

Question #	Source Document	Paragraph	Question	Answer
33			Ku-Band Test Source Question: What is the desired field strength on target and at what range (to determine transmitter and antenna design)?	Refer to KPP table (third KPP) for response on Page 9 of Attachment 1.
34	DET-RWP-5.16.22-Final	Sec 5.4 Pricing Breakout	What level of detail is requested in the FFP pricing? Is the government asking for detailed pricing like that required by FAR Table 15-2? Or is the level of detail found in the table in section 5.3.7 Integrated Master Schedule sufficient detail?	<p>The Government is requesting the pricing level of detail described in Section 5.4 of the RWP. A Firm-fixed price solution that is further divided into severable, clearly definable, detailed milestones for each phase.</p> <p>The vendor shall provide sufficient detail of what is being delivered at each milestone and the milestones shall not be worked concurrently. (This has been updated in Amendment 02).</p> <p>Vendors shall also provide a price for their proposed approach to include any licensing and any recurring and non-recurring costs (e.g., installation/set-up, initial training, sustainment costs, upgrade costs and other associated/ add-on services) for a Production/Maintenance environment.</p> <p>The Government is not requiring pricing submission as required by FAR Table 15-2, please follow the pricing requirements identified in RWP, Section 5.4. The Government will not provide additional guidance on the vendors pricing submission as our goal is to spur innovation and unique white paper submissions. The Government expects the vendor to follow the pricing requirements identified in RWP section 5.4. (Any additional cost or pricing submission will be defined during potential down select requirements or alternative acquisition approaches.)</p>
35	DET Project Talx DET-RWP-5.16.22-Final	11Apr2022 Slide 20 Sec 7.2.3	Will the SOW/Milestone Collaboration afford the contractor the opportunity to update pricing in either case that the Government down-selects and initiates further discussion or that the Government exercises its right to enter into SOW/Milestone collaboration during the evaluation process?	If a down select approach is selected, submission requirements will be identified to support additional submissions to further satisfy the defined acquisitions strategy. The SOW collaboration event is not anticipated to support price increases or decreases since the requirements are defined for industry solution submission. There are certain unique circumstances that may require pricing revisions, but those circumstances are extremely rare.
36	DET-RWP-5.16.22-Final	Sec 2, Page 3, Para 6	At what point in the process are optional technical objectives added to the agreement for potential future activation at the Government's direction? Does this happen as part of the WP submission, or later in the process, such as during the SOW/Milestone Collaboration or during execution?	Any optional technical objectives should be clearly identified with its separate merits as part of the complete solution in the White Paper. Decisions on timing to add optional content are case dependent and may occur at any time in the process, whether by inclusion as a option or added via SOW/Milestone collaboration.
37	DET-RWP-5.16.22-Final	Sec 6.1	Is a determination that the defined topic goals are not deemed technologically feasible the only circumstance in which a partial solution would be considered for award?	The Government will expect a technical discussion on the feasibility of meeting the requirement and would welcome any solution, both partial or complete, to satisfy the topic goals. Rationale should be provided for a partial solution, regardless of the reason.
38	DET-RWP-5.16.22-Final	Sec 6.5	Is a one week extension to the proposal due date possible?	A two week extension was granted and identified in Amendment 01, issued on May 23, 2022. The submission date has been revised to June 28, 2022.