

Question #	Source Document	Paragraph	Question	Answer
1	Draft RFS		Is there a schedule as to when the other dRFS's for the other TCT's will be available?	At this time there is no schedule that details when the other RFS's will be released.
2	Draft RFS		If we come in with pre-existing Data Rights (e.g. limited, restricted, SBIR, and commercial data rights) can we retain them without penalty in the evaluation and selection process or does the USG require GPR and anything less will be penalized in the evaluation process?	IAW dRFS section 5.3.6: The USG requires GPR in all technical data (including computer software documentation) and computer software developed under any OT awarded pursuant to the RFS, for, at least, a five-year period.
3	Draft RFS		Is there any expectation that funded enhancements of COTS would require GPR to the entire COTS product?	Negative.
4	Draft RFS		If a vendor responds to only one or several focus areas sought, but not all, is that considered responsive?	Yes, IAW dRFS section 7.6.1 & .2: The Government anticipates awarding one or more OT prototype project(s), through TReX, to the vendor or vendors that propose(s) a solution that <b>best satisfies the Government's objectives</b> . The Government reserves the right to award to a vendor that does not meet all of the requirements but <b>provides attributes or partial solutions of value</b> . Responses will be evaluated by Government personnel.
5	Draft RFS		Is preference given to a response that covers the most focus areas sought, or can a response that focuses on one particular aspect (e.g. MLS communications) likewise have an equal chance for consideration? In other words would there be e.g. a preference given to a broader but possibly less mature solution rather than a narrower but more mature solution?	IAW dRFS section 7.6.1 & .2: The Government anticipates awarding one or more OT prototype project(s), through TReX, to the vendor or vendors that propose(s) a solution that <b>best satisfies the Government's objectives</b> . The Government reserves the right to award to a vendor that does not meet all of the requirements but <b>provides attributes or partial solutions of value</b> . Responses will be evaluated by Government personnel.
6	Draft RFS		Are you looking for compatibility with existing legacy CDS infrastructure?	Not necessarily, but compatibility with an already DOD approved CDS would be required.
7	Draft RFS		If so, can you state specific systems or technologies (e.g. RADIANT MERCURY) that you want compatibility with?	Pre-approved CDS is the only criteria. The Government is interested in solutions that use a CDS that has the ability, and is approved, to transfer modern Microsoft documents in addition to real time Full Motion Video (FMV) streaming.
8	Draft RFS		a. Are you contemplating accreditation of the prototype solution as part of the OTA effort or is that outside of the scope of the effort? b. Is DO178C or other certification expected?	a. Yes b. It is unknown at this time, however it may be required in order to support accreditation and/or employment of the system.
9	Draft RFS		a. Is the Government looking for free / open source software solutions? b. Or do commercial software solutions have an equal chance as free / open source?	a. Not specifically; The Government is looking for the best solution that meets the requirements. b. Yes.
10	Draft RFS		Is the reference to PEO STRI on page 13 of the draft RFS a typo?	No, the PEO STRI reference is correct.