Question #	Source Document	Paragraph	Question	Answer
1	Slido		The call is some what hard to hear. Are these tools available for Industry to look at now? Are they to be used in our solution?	The tools are not available for industry at this stage. The tools may be required to be used with your solution. However, this depends on your solution. Vendors should assume tools are in beta use.
2	Slido		Did you state that offerors should suggest the evaluation criteria for their proposals or will the Government provide evaluation criteria for each offer?	The Government provided the evaluation criteria in the RFS.
3	Slido		At SimBlocks.io we have been developing several CDB tools. Would you be interested in a plugin to directly stream CDB content into Unity or Unreal at runtime?	Depends on the proposed solution.
4	Slido		This is an FFP type contract and the ATO process does not have any specific timeline, would the Government consider T&M for the ATO portion of this effort?	Depends on the proposed solution. The Government would need to understand why a cost-based approach for the ATO process is in the best interest of all parties.
5	Slido		How many people are currently working the metrics objective?	Several.
6	Slido		Is there a report available for the Rapid 3D results?	This is a Government only report and cannot be distributed for this solicitation.
7	Slido		Is the program open to universities to apply? If yes, does it have to be in collaboration with industry?	Universities are eligible to submit solutions for OTAs. However, each academic institution needs to determine their status with respect to Traditional or Non-Traditional. Based on that, you will know what your team make-up may need to be. The following link, Cost Accounting Standards-Educational Institution, is a good reference when making this determination: https://www.acquisition.gov/far/52.230-5
			How to pre-view CDB content?	The Government is unsure what is being asked. Please restate the question with additional information for clarification.
8	Slido		You mentioned the use of Open Source and GOTS. Are there any Objectives where you would consider COTS?	Yes, If there are no licensing costs involved. If offered, the Government would need specific justification on why other solutions are not considered.
9	Slido		Is the Government sponsoring a DD254 for this effort?	Yes.
10	Slido		You mention managing i/o transfer to clients. Do you have any metrics on acceptable file size or file count?	This is dependent upon the transfer of files, the network limitations, and the platform use. As an example, CDB contains multiple of files for file transfer between user, the system processing of files, and ability to write back to CDB holdings.
11	Slido		Will you support work that contributes enhancements back to open source software in addition to just using open source software	Yes.
12	Slido		For objective #3 and #4, you are mostly interested in solutions to integrate in your existing software 3D pipeline, as opposed to R&D of a product, correct?	In order to reach a derivative product to support 3D M&S community for operational use, we first have to develop tools to automate this capability. We currently only have part of the tools in objective #3 to execute Beyond 3D objective. Object #4 is taking low TRLs (5 or 6) to TRL 9 is in the realm of possibilities; modular in nature
13	Slido		Is there any sensor specifically of interest for 3D work?	Interested in all sensors to include sensor fustion imagery capabilities.
14	Slido		Do you anticipate making one or a small number of awards larger awards or a larger number of smaller awards?	Vendors may choose to submit against each individual objective or submit one solution for all areas.
15	Slido		Will 3D generation using multi-static ISAR of interest? If so, is the multi-static ISAR data available?	Possibly. This would require further discussions.
16	Slido		What is the level of funding available for each objective?	The Government is not releasing the anticipated budget for this effort.

17	Slido		Please clarify whether a single award (per RFS 7.7 first sentence) for this OTA is anticipated, or if a single award per Objective (thus five OTA awards)?	Vendors may choose to submit against each individual objective or submit one solution for all areas.
18	Slido		Considering multiple awards are being considered across 5 objectives, would the Government consider releasing the top-level anticipated budget for the program?	The Government is not releasing the anticipated budget for this effort.
19	Attachment 1	page 2	The following statement is on page 2 of the requirement document (Attachment 1), "Deliverables (hardware, software, reports, etc.) will be determined during final Statement of Work collaborations with selected offerors, prior to award." Question 1 – If the project deliverables are currently not well-defined, what process and criteria will the acquisition authority use to determine the "selected offerors" that will collaborate to help determine the deliverable requirements? This process is not apparently described in paragraph 7.7 (Selection Process) of the RFS document. Question 2 - Will only those "selected offerors" be able to revise their proposals to adjust for well-defined deliverables?	Deliverables should be defined as part of their proposal to include software, supporting documentation, program review material, monthly status reports, security plans, etc., based upon their solution.
20	RFS	Paragraph 7.7	Question 1: The RFS in paragraph 7.7 indicates the government's intent to make a single award OT. During the presentation, it was stated companies could submit to one or more objectives. Will the RFS be revised to reflect the process for handling evaluating and selecting multiple awards?	The Government may potentially award all objectives to one vendor; however, only if there are no true solutions which address all objectives. An amended RFS will be forthcoming.
21			Question 2: If the Government intends to have multiple awards, is it possible for a company to submit against all objective and be awarded a subset? Will the RFS be updated to reflect this acquisition strategy?	Vendors may choose to submit against each individual objective or submit one solution for all areas.
22			Question 3: Will the Government or T-REX make available a common Contractor Bidders Library (CBL) so the source information provided potential offerors are all the same?	All required information is posted to the TReX Beyond 3D opportunity site. If any materials are restricted, instructions on how to gain access are provided.
23	PTX		At the Beyond 3D Project TALX briefing, it was unclear about the number of anticipated OTA awards. The RFS states that one award is anticipated however during TALX a comment was made about there being one award per Objective. Could you please clarify?	Vendors may choose to submit against each individual objective or submit one solution for all areas.
24	PTX	Slide 23	With reference to slide 23 of the Beyond 3D Project TALX presentation, there was some discussion I didn't hear clearly about the second to last bullet item, "Detailed proposal evaluation criteria supplied to all proposers". Do proposers have to request this separately or is it referencing what is already provided in the RFS?	Evaluation criteria is outlined in the RFS. Please refer to the released RFS document on the TReX Beyond 3D opportunity page.
25	RFS	Section 5.2.1, Objective 2	Request for Solutions, Para 5.2.1 Objective 2: We have a system that transforms content from cameras, laser range finders and a GPS into 3D reconstruction and modeling to allow automation and processing of off-image plane measurements, as well as providing confidence levels and error estimations. But is "user-generated content" and/or "source content" from possibly a soldier fielded systems or strictly from NGA systems? Maybe better stated, are you looking for systems at the soldier level that can correlate, process and disseminate 3D data back into the NGA user community?	Yes, to include the ability to process raw imagery from multiple sources for the creation, updating and visualization of 2D and 3D content for modeling and simulation uses.
26	RFS	Section 5.2.1	Request for Solutions, 5.2.1 Technical Objectives: If you are looking for soldier level systems, what are the mechanism(s) for getting the data back into the NGA user community?	The foundation data will be used from strategic level to ground level, be platform independent and be able to update NGA foundation data holdings. We currently have options for snowballs and if cloud accessible we can accept deliveries via digital uploaders and command prompts.