

Question #	Source Document	Paragraph	Question	Answer
94			FOLLOW ON QUESTIONS BEGIN	
95			<p>4 Part Question: During the Industry Day, we were not able to engage in asking what we believe is an important clarification regarding the Data Rights slide which was presented. We hope that you can clarify - we have already had a number of primes asking us whether we can supply the data rights the government requires and we are currently uncertain how to answer. The architecture-related data rights are totally clear (Unlimited). The questions we have are as follows (all wording in quotes is lifted from the presented Data Rights slide): * All other developments and deliverables "funded under the transaction" - GPR "for at least 5 years"</p> <p>QUESTION 1: we are not sure what GPR for at least 5 years means. What happens after 5 years? Do the rights become Unlimited? * Proprietary software - "shall not be included without prior Government approval"</p> <p>QUESTION 2: we imply that it is unlikely that proprietary software under a typical license agreement will be acceptable. Please could you confirm this or comment on any nuance we are missing.</p> <p>QUESTION 3: we are unclear what the Government desires in terms of proprietary software. Let us take VBS4, a BISim product, as an example. VBS4 is not part of the architecture and it is not "funded under the transaction" as it is a COTS software product so neither of the bullets on the slide applies. So it is clear that the Government does NOT desire a typical licensing arrangement but it is not clear what the Government does desire. Could you please describe what is desired. * "Aligned with the delivery of each MVP [minimum]"</p> <p>QUESTION 4: we imply from this that some kind of limited set of rights limited to align with each MVP is a minimum data rights package which would be considered. But if that is the correct implication, we don't understand how it interacts with the first 3 bullets on the Data Rights slide. We would appreciate further explanation. For information, we are aware of several other companies who have similar questions so possibly some kind of public clarification might be useful. If any of the questions need further clarification, please do not hesitate to arrange a meeting with us. We will make ourselves available at your convenience.</p>	<p>Ans Q1: After 5 years, the GPR will convert into Unlimited Rights</p> <p>Ans Q2: The Government needs to understand the lifecycle license costs impacts to support the Bde Collective Training capability and beyond (in support of ASCC), before the solution is considered.</p> <p>Ans Q3: The COTS solution will need to interface through the Government owned Architecture/ICDs. The Government needs to understand the lifecycle license costs impacts to support the Bde Collective Training capability and beyond (in support of ASCC), before the solution is considered.</p> <p>Ans Q4: Data and software rights are conferred when the agreement is signed. Bullet 4 was included in error. Please disregard.</p>
96			The timeline for the OTA is a little confusing. The timeline shows release of RFS in November-when is the response to the RFS due?	Due to the incremental approach, the specific timeframe is unknown. Please refer the explanation provided in answer to #97 for the evaluation strategy. The Government will provide details in the RFS: such as when the demo plans will be required; when the selections will be done so some vendors would be requested to attend the demo phase; when discussions would take place, when the vendors will be selected to move to phase 3 of the evaluations; and when the white papers would due and so on.
97			Are we responding to a demo or the entire solution?	The initial response will be the Demo Plan only, then if selected, the vendor will continue to the Demo Phase. Only vendors selected to continue to the White Paper Phase will be requested to respond to the entire solution. Additional details will be provided in the RFS.
98			Is the 50K award before demonstrations or the down selected company goes through the demo and TMS?	The \$50K award will be provided to the vendors that successfully pass the demonstration plan & demonstration of capabilities phases of the multi-phased evaluation approach. The award will be made available prior to the last phase of the evaluation approach, the technical interchanges. Additional details will be provided in the RFS.
99			The TSMT program was introduced as the TSMT Prototype effort. Is it possible for the Government to articulate what has been learnt so far from the previous OTA1 and CSE efforts which preceded this TSMT project?	Prior lessons learned have informed the development of the requirements package. Additionally, the Government is pursuing this acquisition strategy to incorporate emerging requirements and to leverage recently enacted DoD policy on Software Acquisition Pathway in order to better align Army needs with industry capabilities.
100			Slide 12 - Can you please specify the date of the "Initial Proposal Submission" and where it sits on the Timeline (slide 10)?	The "Initial Proposal Submission" in this context aligns with the Demonstration Plan submission timeline
101			Slide 12 and Q&A Do subcontractors require an FCL- Will the Government be providing a DD form 254 with the TSMT security requirements during the RFS timeline (slide 10)?	Only the prime requires an FCL. The Government plans to release a DRAFT DD254 prior to the final release of the RFS
102			Slide 14 - Can the Government expand on the ideas of delivery incentives ("Delivery incentives associated with capability at MVP, MVCR, Releases") N.b. we recognize this was also described in the presentation so we are asking for further explanation, maybe by examples of previous contracts where this approach was applied or otherwise.	The draft RFS will provide additional detail, however, the Government is soliciting input from vendors on delivery incentives ideas.

103			Slide 15 - The 2m entity count definition would be really helpful. Mr Wright said the definition was already defined - can the Government share this definition please?	<p>An entity is any independent object within a simulation that possesses complex behaviors and attributes. For example, personnel, vehicles, complex munitions, key communications devices might all be represented as independent entities within a simulation.</p> <p>As we look at the operational need for counter-proliferation of WMDs, support of MDO Capable force in 2028, the 2M entities for a BCT is right level of replication in today's world. If history is our teacher, Soldiers have been conducting operations in Dense Urban Terrain in the past. See below real world examples,</p> <p>Real World Examples: Bagdad, 1993 population was 4.3M. In 2020, population is 7.1M. On 5 April, 1993 Task Force 1-64 Armor of the 2nd BCT, 3rd ID executed the "Thunder Run", to test remaining Iraqi defenses. The operation began south of Baghdad and went through main roads to the airport</p> <p>October 3, 1993: Black Hawk Down operation triggered an increase in military thought concerning urban operations. Back in 1993, Mogadishu is a city with 1.3M. 27 years later in 2020, population is 2.3M.</p> <p>Population of Pyongyang in Nk is 3M, in the event of coup or attack, US & ROK forces will have to take control of key WMD sites in that area.</p> <p>There is a linkage to the MDO Concept that addresses the increased population challenge (Megacities).</p>
104			Slide 15 - Is the expectation that the sim host for RVCT-ground will be the game engine or will there be a GFI sim host?	The Government requirement is for a common/single game engine across all applications
105			Slide 16 - Will the Government provide validation data or service for physical and behavior models?	The Government will provide access to GFI and Authoritative Data Sources to support physical and behavior model development
106			Slide 16 - Can the Government provide any details about the Government owned DevSecOps environment?	See attached Platform One slide deck
107			It was mentioned that there was a desire to maximize efficiency of TSMT software relative to the hardware required. Can you elaborate on the context/motivation for this	There is concern that AC power capacity at the many Point of Need (PoN) locations at Army installations is not sufficient to meet the load that would be presented by the combination of RVCT Compute Kits and TSMT Edge and/or Field nodes. Additionally there are no facility modifications such as power upgrades planned in support of STE. Thus TSMT will be requiring that alternatives to placing x86 hardware to run TSMT software at the RVCT PoN be available. This includes the ability to run on emerging, low cost, low power mobile gaming compute platforms. Also the TSMT vendor will be expected to explore the future the employment of game streaming technologies to move processing away from the PoN.