

Question #	Source Document	Paragraph	Question	Answer	PWS/TDP Change
109	PWS	Paragraph 3.8.3	Does the scope of Product Support deliverables (LORA, FMECA, etc.) only include software and hardware delivered under the TSMT OTA or is the vendor responsible for providing Product Support deliverables for the other STE OTAs as well (RVCT, OWT, SiVT, etc.)?	The TSMT vendor will be responsible for software and hardware delivered under the TSMT OTA. Additionally, the TSMT vendor will integrate Product Support deliverables from other STE OTA Vendors into one comprehensive Product Support Package for STE-IS. However, each vendor will sustain their respective product support packages.	
111	PWS	Paragraph 3.8.3.3	Recommend consideration of removing of the Level of Repair Analysis (LORA) Report. The level of hardware to be delivered under this contract is minimal and will be 100% commercial IT equipment.	The Government is considering the request and will notify industry in conjunction with the release of the final RFS.	
112	PWS	Paragraph 3.8.3.4	Recommend consideration of removing of the Failure Modes, Effects, and Criticality Analysis (FMECA) Report. The level of hardware to be delivered under this contract is minimal and will be 100% commercial IT equipment.	The Government is considering the request and will notify industry in conjunction with the release of the final RFS.	
113	PWS	Paragraph 3.8.3.9 and .10	Recommend consideration of removing of the Product Engineering Design Data & Associated Lists and Drawing Tree CDRLs. The level of hardware to be delivered under this contract is minimal and will be 100% commercial IT equipment. Further, the data to support competitive procurement will be outlined within Architecture deliverables.	The Government is considering the request and will notify industry in conjunction with the release of the final RFS.	
114	PWS	Paragraph 3.8.3.18	Recommend consideration of removing the Trainer Facilities Report (TFR) CDRL as the system delivery within is designed to be delivered to the PoN. Further, the outcomes of the delivery of the software system will be captured in Site Survey reports.	The Government is considering the request and will notify industry in conjunction with the release of the final RFS.	
115	PWS	Paragraph 3.8.3.19	Recommend consideration of removing the Training Device Inventory Checklist/Record CDRL, which appears to be duplicative with the TADSS Materiel Component List CDRL - both of which may not be appropriate for the delivery of the limited commercial hardware associated with this software information system.	The Government is considering the request and will notify industry in conjunction with the release of the final RFS.	

128 PWS	PWS Paragraph 3.8.2.2	WRT to the magnitude of the DoDAF and SysML diagrams the Initial Submission Due timeframe. "The vendor shall make initial revisions to existing models and begin adding new views at the completion of the first development sprint" is aggressive. The Government may want to re-evaluate if the first developmental sprint duration is long enough to accomplish this task.	The Government will provide the vendor initial views and diagrams from which to proceed. The vendor will use the MBSE tool environment to make changes to those views and diagrams for the development work done during the sprint. The Government expects the vendor to make updates no later than the end of the sprint demonstration. The PWS has been revised.	From: "The vendor shall make initial revisions to existing models and begin adding new views at the completion of the first development sprint." to: "The vendor shall make initial revisions to existing models and begin adding new views at the completion of the first sprint demonstration."
129 PWS	PWS Paragraph 3.8.2.3	WRT to the magnitude Interface Design Description (IDD) the Initial Submission Due timeframe. Completed models upon completion of the first development sprint is aggressive. The Government may want to re-evaluate if the first developmental sprint duration is long enough to accomplish this task.	The Government will provide the vendor initial views and diagrams from which to proceed. The vendor will use the MBSE tool environment to make changes to those views and diagrams for the development work done during the sprint. The Government expects the vendor to make updates no later than the end of the sprint demonstration. The PWS has been revised.	From: The vendor shall make initial revisions to existing models and begin adding new views at the completion of the first development sprint. To: The vendor shall provide initial updates to models in the MagicDraw tool at the completion of the first sprint demonstration.
130 PWS	PWS Paragraph 3.8.2.5	WRT to the magnitude System/Subsystem Design Description (SSDD) or Software Product Specification (SPS) the Initial Submission Due timeframe. Completed models upon completion of the first development sprint is aggressive. The Government may want to re-evaluate if the first developmental sprint duration is long enough to accomplish this task.	The Government will provide the vendor initial views and diagrams from which to proceed. The vendor will use the MBSE tool environment to make changes to those views and diagrams for the development work done during the sprint. The Government expects the vendor to make updates during a sprint as the development progresses. However, the initial submission will be before the end of the first sprint demonstration	From: The vendor shall provide initial updates to models in the MagicDraw tool at the completion of the first development sprint. To: The vendor shall provide initial updates to models in the MagicDraw tool at the completion of the first sprint demonstration.

			PWS, page 58 PART reference
138 PWS	PWS Paragraph 3.9.2.4	"The vendor shall certify conformance to modularity and openness using the Program Assessment and Review Tool (PART) in accordance with the deliverables". Will the Government provide the PART tool? Where does it reside?	The PART is available at: http://www.acqnotes.com/Attachments/MOSA%20Program%20Assessment%20and%20Review%20Tool%20(PART).xls
			Replace current link with http://www.acqnotes.com/Attachments/MOSA%20Program%20Assessment%20and%20Review%20Tool%20(PART).xls Old link no longer works.
139 PWS	PWS Paragraph 3.9.2.14	"The vendor architecture shall be compliant with the Government's high-level STE architecture". Is this architecture complete to facilitate the TSMT vendor's design and implementation from Day 1?	The architecture will be readily available on day 1. The architecture is to guide the vendor to be consistent with the intent and direction of the STE.
142 PWS	PWS Paragraph 3.10	The Government stated intent is for an agile approach that addresses meetings and agile ceremonies such as: daily scrum meetings, scrum of scrums, sprint planning, sprint demonstrations, sprint retrospectives, program increment planning sessions, integration points between teams, system demonstrations, and other components of the agile methodology. This agility conflicts with several of the waterfall based deliverables defined in PWS Paragraph 3.8. Will these sections be synthesized to find efficiencies and tailored to satisfy the fundamental TSMT requirements for technological development and the DoD Software Acquisition Pathways approach?	The Government is considering the request and will notify industry in conjunction with the release of the final RFS.
148 DRAFT PWS R2	3.5	Since the Customer is using Firm Fixed Price in its acquisition strategy, can the Customer please share with Offerors how much funding is being made available to help winning team(s) migrate to a DevSecOps environment by the time the final RFS is released or this is funding to be negotiated at the time of award?	The Government is considering the request and will notify industry in conjunction with the release of the final RFS.
153 DRAFT PWS R2	NA	The Government has not supplied the Software Acquisition Pathway IP Rights Strategy in the PWS, as is required under DoD Issuance 5000.87. When can Offerors expect to see this published?	Please refer to the draft RFS for details on data rights and its applicability to software.

155 DRAFT PWS R2	3.9.1.1 3.9.1.2	Please share a detailed description of use-cases / examples for how the Customer envisions its rights over pre-existing COTS source code?	The Government seeks flexibility in potential follow-on contracts that would maximize competition. The vendor is free to propose a solution that leverages COTS and the Government will evaluate the resulting data rights strategy in the context of this aforementioned flexibility.
158 DRAFT PWS R2	1.2	Can the Customer please distinguish between "cross-domain", multi-domain and all-domain?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Cross Domain Solutions (CDSs) are high value cybersecurity targets that open high risk communication channels by connecting networks of differing security domains and provide the ability to access and transfer electronic data between domains (e.g. unclassified to classified). ● The term "multi-domain", which is defined in the H.2 Glossary table contained in the PWS (currently on page H-15), is in reference to the operational environment (Air, Land, Maritime, Cyber, and Space). ● "All-domain" is not referenced in the PWS.
159 DRAFT PWS R2	1.2	Can the Customer please clarify what DoD Issuance number the Software Acquisition Pathway is assigned? Is it 5000.2, 5000.2T, or 5000.87?	The Software Acquisition Pathway is assigned to 5000.87
165 DRAFT PWS R2	3.5	Regarding "agreed upon" agile systems, what are the options the Government has in mind?	The Government seeks vendor input on the best approach for implementing an agile methodology. Subsequent contract negotiations and award will constitute agreement of the approach.
189 Draft PWS	Line 1709	Will the government pay for access to the tools in Platform One for 50 personnel, or does the Contractor need to include a cost element to provide that access?	The Government will provide access to Platform One for up to 100 personnel Added: "The Government will provide 100 developer licenses for Platform One." in PWS paragraph 3.10.5.18

197 PWS	Section 1.3.2.2	What (if any) network connections will be required and provided by Government? Ex. SIPR, NIPR, JWIC, etc.	The Government will provide the network infrastructure in the Government managed laboratory, i.e. the TIF. Additionally, the TIF will have connectivity to the Government managed enterprise services, i.e. Platform One. Finally, the deployed sites will have their own Government provided network infrastructure. It is incumbent upon the vendor to provide the network infrastructure for the vendor lab
204 PWS	Section 2.1	Please provide the Phase 0 Software Acquisition documents 5000.87 - User Agreement, Acquisition Strategy, Capability Needs statement	The referenced documents are internal Government documents. Please refer to the draft RFS for the comprehensive list of artifacts for this requirement.
135 PWS	PWS Paragraph 3.8.3.25	Who is the intended audience for the Instructional Media Package? (as the TSMT is intended to be Soldier operated) How does the Government envision this media package content to be delivered?	The intended audience is Soldiers, Government Testers, Government Evaluators, Government Auditors, Contractor Operators, Contractor System Administrators, Contractor Maintainers, and Contractor Software Developers.
188 Draft PWS	Line 238	Where/When are all of the vendors identified?	NSTXL has posted the information for its members.