

Question #	Date Recd	Source Document	Paragraph	Question	Answer	Column1	Column2
1	7/1/2020	RFS		For the purposes of the Phase 1 FFP Proposal and Deliverable, is the total RF Source count defined by Table 1, Part 3 of the RFGEN-SDI-RFS-22-Jun-20.pdf document where these sources can either be independent tuned or used in port groups for AoA (amplitude, phase, time, Doppler)? Thus the total number of RF Sources in the Phase 1 prototype RFGEN would be 2ea (70MHz to 500MHz), 2ea (500MHz to 2GHz), 20ea (2GHz to 18GHz), and 2ea (18GHz to 40GHz). Please confirm.	It can be either banded or all in one. 26 threats have to be handle all within a band range of 20MHz to 40GHz		
2	7/2/2020	RFS	5.1	RFS Table 1 (page 7) and Section 5.1 (page 8) lists a Section called "Security Requirements" within the General Volume. However, there is no Section between 5.1.1.4 and 5.1.1.5 that describes the contents of Security Requirements. Can you please clarify if the Security Requirements section is required, and if so what is the content?	The Security Requirement is Operations Security under Section 5.1.2.8 which references Attachment 9 and Cybersecurity under Section 5.1.2.8.1 referencing RMF.		
3	7/2/2020	RFS	5.1.2.2	What is the definition of a Sub-Vendor in Section 5.1.2.2. Sub-Vendor List? Does this include only subcontractors and not material suppliers? Or subcontractors and material suppliers over a certain content threshold? It is possible we could have a very large list (50+) of piece part suppliers for this effort and interpret a sub-vendor to be a subcontractor and not a material supplier. Do you agree with this interpretation?	The Sub-Vendor as in subcontractors providing critical functions. It is not referring to the minor components within the system		
4	7/2/2020	Data Rights & Computer Software License Terms	3	The IP rights requested in Attachment 6 are different from the original Navy NEWEG program. We believe we can satisfy the Government's data rights requirements with unlimited rights (as we done previously) instead of ownership rights. Is that an acceptable position?	Government would like unlimited rights to the "data" generated by the system. If there are components or capabilities that are paid or developed using government funds then the government will expect government purpose rights at a minimal.		