US Navy RFGEN Q&A Batch 01 Dated 08 June 2020

Attachment: 2 Question Form

Question #	Source Document	Paragraph (s)	Question	Answer	Column1
1	DGEN-SDI_Draft-RFS-05_28_20.docx	Phase One: Baseline Development Lines 397-422	What is the expectation or scope in terms of integration with other subsystems (SSC, RFGEN, etc) and acceptance of delivered DGEN prototype at NAVAIR 5.4 IBST for Phase One?	The expectation is for DGEN subsystem to received command and data from the SSC, and be able to translate the simulated environment into a stream of Pulse Descriptors Words (PDW) in a format specified by the DGEN-RFGEN Interface Control Document (ICD). As stated under 1.0 and 2.1	
2	DGEN-SDI_Draft-RFS-05_28_20.docx	1. Purpose and Authority Lines 44 and 87	The NEWEG interface (as shown in the graphic on page 2) has individual antenna port effects modeled in RFGEN. When used with a DGEN+ server (as mentioned on line 87), the DGEN capabilities extend past the defined NEWEG interface between DGEN and RFGEN. Should proposed baseline DGEN SDI capabilities adhere to the NEWEG definition of DGEN or should they include capabilities and variants involving a DGEN+ server?	The minimal system requirement would be the base DGEN, however the government would prefer DGEN+ variant in order to meet an open architecute scheme for multiple RFGENs. This language will be incorporated in the final RFS, paragraph 5.1.2.6, Phase One Outcomes.	
3	DGEN-SDI_Draft-RFS-05_28_20.docx	5.1.2.2 Sub-Vendor List Lines 296-299	At what phase do sub-vendor relationships and scope need to be negotiated or finalized?	The sub-vendor should be identified in the proposal and should include their involvement, including a description of subcontractor tasks, and if applicable, manufacturing capabilities and facilities (5.1.2.3). Scope should be finalized prior to award.	